Mail Stop Interference P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria Va 22313-1450

Tel: 571-272-4683 Fax: 571-273-0042 Filed: 6 July 2011

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

FRITZ H. BACH, LEO E. OTTERBEIN, MIGUEL P. SOARES and JEANNE GOSE,

Patent 7,238,469 B2, Junior Party,

٧.

DAVID J. PINSKY, DAVID M. STERN and CHARLES J. PRESTIGIACOMO,

Application 10/679,135 Senior Party.

Patent Interference No. 105,815 (Technology Center 1600)

Before RICHARD E. SCHAFER, SALLY GARDNER LANE, and SALLY C. MEDLEY, Administrative Patent Judges.

LANE, Administrative Patent Judge.

Judgment– Request for Adverse – Bd. R. 127

1	Counsel for Bach indicates that Bach does not intend to file any paper in the
2	interference. (See attached e-mail). Accordingly, it is appropriate to enter judgment
3	against Bach. Bd. R. 127(b)(4).
4	It is
5	ORDERED that judgment on priority as to Count 1 (Paper 1 at 4), the sole
6	count of the interference, is entered against junior party Bach;
7	FURTHER ORDERED that claims 15, 18, 19, 37-39, and 46-48 of Bach
8	patent 7,238,469, which claims correspond to Count 1 (Paper 1 at 4), are CANCELLED,
9	35 USC §135(a):
10	FURTHER ORDERED that if there is a settlement agreement, the parties
11	are directed to 35 USC 135(c) and Bd. R. 205; and
12	FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this judgment shall be entered into
13	the administrative record of the Bach involved patent and the Pinsky involved
14	application.

cc (via Electronic and Overnight Delivery):

Counsel for BACH:

Debra A. Shetka, Esq. FISH & RICHARDSON P.C. 3200 RBC Plaza 60 South Sixth Street Minneapolis, MN 55402

Tel: 612-335-5070 shetka@fr.com

Counsel for PINSKY:

John P. White, Esq. Gary J. Gershik, Esq. COOPER & DUNHAM, LLP 30 Rockefeller Plaza, 20th floor New York, NY 10112

Tel: 212-278-0400

jwhite@cooperdunham.com ggershik@cooperdunham.com

Vignone, Maria

From:	Lane, Sally			
Sent:	Tuesday, July 05, 2011 12:21 PM			
To:	Despertt, Sonja			
Cc:	Vignone, Maria			
Subject:	FW: FYI - Important!! - FW: Interference 105815 (SGL)			
·				
Original Message				
From: Debra Shetka [mailto:Shetka@fr.com]				
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2011 11:46 AM				
To: Medley, Sally				
Subject: RE: Interference 105815 (SGL)				
,	,			
Thank you, your honor. I want to let you know that we have been instructed by the client not to pursue this interference and not to incur any fees. As such, I will not be filing any papers in this matter.				
Sincerely,				
Debra Shetka				
From: Medley, Sally [mailto:Sally.N	Modlov@USDTO GOVI			
Sent: Mon 6/27/2011 3:58 PM	wedley@osi 10.00vj			
To: Debra Shetka				
Subject: FW: Interference 105815	(SCI)			
Subject. 1 W. Interference 103013	(301)			
Dear Ms. Shetka,				
,				
You may now file papers through the Web Portal for this case.				
Tou may now me papers amough the west ortainer this case.				
Cincoroly				
Sincerely,				
Sally Medley				
Administrative Patent Judge				
Board of Patent Appeals and Inter	ferences			
United States Patent and Trademark Office				

From: Debra Shetka [mailto:Shetka@fr.com]

Sent: Friday, June 24, 2011 1:06 PM

To: BOX INTERFERENCES

Subject: Interference 105815 (SGL)